COMMANDER NAVAL AIR FORCES AVIATION MISHAP INJURY ANALYSIS

Researched by EDWARD HOBBS KRYSTYNA EAKER

Naval Safety Center Data Management and Analysis Department

AIRFOR AVIATION MISHAP INJURY

In this study injuries that fall under the OPNAVINST 3750.6R were analyzed. Only class C or more severe events from FY2005-FY2009 were included. CNATRA injuries were also included in the analysis; however there were only two events.

During the five years analyzed there were 58 events where an injury was reported. 21 events involved an aircraft impacting terrain at high speed. Since these injuries were the result of a high impact aviation crash, they were not included in the study.

Figure 1 displays the remaining 37 injury events.

ACTION	OBJECT	ASHORE	EMBARKED	TOTAL
	HOOK	1	1	2
	SPOILER	1		1
	NITROGEN HOSE		1	1
	LOAD		1	1
STRUCK BY	CANOPY	1		1
EQUIPMENT	PYLON	1		1
	EXTERNAL FUEL TANK	1		1
	ATFLIR		1	1
	TIRE (SHRAPNEL)		1	1
	FLARES		1	1
STRUCK BY E	QUIPMENT Total	5	6	11
	BOARDING LADDER	3		3
	WING	1	2	3
FALL	JET/PROP BLAST	1	1	2
	AIRCRAFT (AIRBORNE)	2		2
	SUCKED INTO INTAKE		1	1
FALL Total		7	4	11
STRUCK BY	RUN OVER	2	2	4
AIRCRAFT	ORDNANCE		1	1
AIRCRAFT	LAU-11		1	1
STRUCK BY A	IRCRAFT Total	2	4	6
	LANDING GEAR STRUT	1		1
	TAIL PYLON		1	1
SEVERED	LEADING EDGE SLAT	1		1
FINGER	EJECTION SEAT		1	1
	COOLING FAN		1	1
	HOIST CABLE	1		1
SEVERED FINGER Total		3	3	6
BIRD STRIKE BIRD		1		1
WIRE STRIKE WIRE		1		1
WEATHER TURBULENCE		1		1
Grand Total	Di - 1 . T-i	20	17	37

Fig 1: Injury Events

"Struck by equipment" events involved injury as a result of a person impacted by a component of a stationary aircraft or other object. "Struck by aircraft" events involved injury from a moving aircraft.

"Struck by equipment" and "falls" are the two highest causes of aviation injury. Less common are "struck by aircraft" and "severed finger". Additionally, the embarked environment has a higher rate (per 100,000 flight hours) of injury than ashore. Figure 2 compares the rates (Minus 1 CNATRA ashore and 1 CNATRA embarked mishaps because there were only 2 CNATRA mishaps compared to 35 AIRFOR.)

	MISHAPS	FLT HRS	RATE
EMBARKED	16	638,545	2.51
ASHORE	19	1,917,528	0.99

Fig 2: Embarked/Ashore Comparison

Fisher's test yields a p-value of 0.012 meaning that the embarked rate is significantly higher at the 95% confidence level. (p-value less than 0.05)

Figure 3 shows the number and rate of injury by aircraft.

MODEL	MISHAPS	FLT HRS	RATE	P-VALUE
C-2	2	47,825	4.18	0.253
EA-6B	6	151,886	3.95	0.025
MH-53E	1	26,181	3.82	0.575
F-14	1	30,378	3.29	0.651
E-6	1	69,994	1.43	1.000
F/A-18	14	1,028,718	1.36	0.924
H-60	8	655,458	1.22	1.000
P-3	2	348,632	0.57	0.312
T-45	2	359,914	0.56	0.281
E-2	0	97,602	0.00	-
S-3	0	51,831	0.00	-
Total	37	2868419	1.29	

Fig 3: Injury Rate By Aircraft

The only rate that is significantly higher at the 95% confidence level is the EA-6B.

Figure 4 displays more detail on the nature of injury for each aircraft.

ACFT MODEL	ACTION	OBJECT	TOTAL
		PYLON	1
	STRUCK BY EQUIPMENT	FLARES	1
F018C	STRUCK BY EQUIPMENT	ATFLIR	1
FUINC		EXTERNAL FUEL TANK	1
	STRUCK BY AIRCRAFT	RUN OVER	2
	SEVERED FINGER	LANDING GEAR STRUT	1
	FALL	WING	2
	FALL	JET/PROP BLAST	1
F018F	STRUCK BY AIRCRAFT	ORDNANCE	1
FUIOF	3 TROCK BT AIRCRAFT	LAU-11	1
	SEVERED FINGER	COOLING FAN	1
	STRUCK BY EQUIPMENT	SPOILER	1
		HOOK	1
	STRUCK BY EQUIPMENT	NITROGEN HOSE	1
EA006B		CANOPY	1
	FALL	BOARDING LADDER	2
	SEVERED FINGER	EJECTION SEAT	1
	FALL	WING	1
MH060S	WIRE STRIKE	WIRE	1
	SEVERED FINGER	HOIST CABLE	1
P003C	WEATHER	TURBULENCE	1
F003C	FALL	BOARDING LADDER	1
SH060F	STRUCK BY AIRCRAFT	RUN OVER	1
3110001	SEVERED FINGER	TAIL PYLON	1
C002A	STRUCK BY EQUIPMENT	TIRE (SHRAPNEL)	1
COOZA	FALL	JET/PROP BLAST	1
HH060H	STRUCK BY AIRCRAFT	RUN OVER	1
ППОООП	STRUCK BY EQUIPMENT	LOAD	1
MH053E	FALL	AIRCRAFT (AIRBORNE)	1
T045C	FALL	SUCKED INTO INTAKE	1
T045A	BIRD STRIKE	BIRD	1
E006B	SEVERED FINGER	LEADING EDGE SLAT	1
F014D	STRUCK BY EQUIPMENT	HOOK	1
SH060B FALL		AIRCRAFT (AIRBORNE)	1
Grand Total	Grand Total		37

Fig 4: Injuries By Model And Object

AVIATION MISHAP INJURY INVOLVED FACTORS

The following sections detail the involved factors. The factors were analyzed separately for each type of injury. Figure 5 and 6 list the factors for "struck by equipment".

STRUCK BY EQUIPMENT (11	EVENTS)
LEVEL1	EVENTS
MAINTENANCE PERSONNEL	7
SUPERVISORY	4
FACILITIES PERSONNEL	2
AIRCREW	1

Fig 5: Level 1 Factors For Struck By Equipment

	STRUCK BY EQUIPMENT (11 EVENTS)			
LEVEL1	LEVEL2	LEVEL3	EVENTS	
		FAILED TO FOLLOW SAFETY PROCEDURES	1	
		FAILED TO FOLLOW TECHNICAL PROCEDURE; STEP BY STEP	3	
		FAILED TO IDENTIFY HAZARDOUS CONDITION	1	
	PRODUCTION	FAILED TO REMOVE	1	
		FAILED TO USE TECHNICAL DATA/PUBLICATIONS	1	
MAINTENANCE		IMPROPERLY INSTALLED	1	
PERSONNEL		LOST SITUATIONAL AWARENESS	1	
PERSONNEL		OVERTORQUED	1	
		VIOLATED TECHNICAL DOCTRINE/PROCEDURE	1	
	SUPERVISORY	FAILED TO DEMAND ADHERENCE TO TECHNICAL DOCTRINE	2	
		FAILED TO MANAGE/SUPERVISE PERSONNEL/ASSETS	2	
		FAIL TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE TECH. PROCEDURES	1	
		INADEQUATELY INSPECTED	1	
	FAIL TO PROVIDE/ PROVIDED IMPROPER	OPERATIONAL DATA/PROCEDURE	2	
SUPERVISORY	FAIL TO PROVIDE/ PROVIDED IMPROPER	TECHNICAL DATA/PROCEDURE	2	
	FAILURE TO RECOGNIZE	DESIGN DEFICIENCY	1	
	FAIL TO ADEQUATELY SUPERVISE	OTHER FLIGHT/HANGAR DECK/LINE PERSONNEL	1	
FACILITIES	FAIL TO ADHERE TO PROCEDURES	STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES	1	
PERSONNEL	FAILED TO PROVIDE	ACCURATE INFORMATION	1	
	LOSS OF SA/ FAILED TO IDENTIFY	HAZARDOUS/UNSAFE DECK CONDITION	1	
AIRCREW	INADEQUATE FLIGHT PREPARATION/	INADEQUATE MISSION PLANNING	1	
AIRCREW	AIRCRAFT PREFLIGHT	OTHER	1	

Fig 6: Level 1-3 Factors For Struck By Equipment

The failure to follow procedures was a major reason for these injuries. There also existed a supervisory component involving failure to provide proper data/procedures.

Figures 7 and 8 detail the factors for "falls".

FALLS (11 EVENTS)		
LEVEL1	EVENTS	
MAINTENANCE PERSONNEL	5	
SUPERVISORY	5	
FACILITIES PERSONNEL	2	
AIRCREW	1	

Fig 7: Level 1 Fall Factors

FALLS (11 EVENTS)			
LEVEL1	LEVEL2	LEVEL3	EVENTS
MAINTENANCE		FAILED TO FOLLOW SAFETY PROCEDURES	1
		FAIL TO FOLLOW TECH PROCEDURE; STEP BY STEP	1
		FAILED TO IDENTIFY HAZARDOUS CONDITION	1
	PRODUCTION	LOST SITUATIONAL AWARENESS	2
PERSONNEL		OPERATED EQUIPMENT WITHOUT QUALIFICATION	1
		OTHER	2
	SUPERVISORY	FAILED TO MANAGE/ SUPERVISE PERSONNEL/ ASSETS	2
	FAILURE TO PROVIDE/ PROVIDED IMPROPER	HAZARD CONTROLS	1
		HAZARD IDENTIFICATION	1
		OPERATIONAL DATA/PROCEDURE	1
SUPERVISORY		SAFETY EQUIPMENT	3
		TECHNICAL DESIGN	1
		TRAINING DOCTRINE	1
	FAIL TO ADEQUATELY SUPERVISE	LAUNCHING CREW	1
FACILITIES		HAZARDOUS/UNSAFE DECK CONDITION	1
PERSONNEL	LOSS OF SA/ FAIL TO IDENTIFY	IMPROPER POSITION/DISTANCE	1
		OTHER	1
	FAIL TO SUPERVISE FLIGHT PROPERLY	FAIL TO TAKE CONTROL IN TIME TO PREVENT MISHAP	1
		FAILED TO COMMUNICATE	1
AIRCREW	FAILURE OF AIRCREW COORDINATION	FAILURE OF LEADERSHIP	1
, torter		LOSS OF SITUATIONAL AWARENESS	1
	OTHER	OTHER	1
	VIOLATION OF EXISTING REGULATIONS	INTENTIONAL VIOLATION OF NATOPS	1

Fig 8: Level 1-3 Fall Factors

Fall injuries were split between maintenance and supervisory. The main factors were failure to follow procedures, lack of situational awareness and failure to provide proper safety equipment. Details for the three incidents involving improper safety equipment were:

- Inadequate non-skid on the F/A-18F leading edge extension (LEX).
- MH-53E gunner safety belt can be slipped off.
- SH-60B hoist for obese individuals (involved a civilian death).

Figures 9 and 10 detail "struck by aircraft"

STRUCK BY AIRCRAFT (6 EVENTS)	
LEVEL1	EVENTS
FACILITIES PERSONNEL	6
SUPERVISORY	3
MAINTENANCE PERSONNEL	1

Fig 9: Level 1 Factors For Struck By Aircraft

STRUCK BY AIRCRAFT (6 EVENTS)			
LEVEL1	LEVEL2 LEVEL3		EVENTS
		AIRCRAFT DIRECTOR	1
		AIRCRAFT HANDLERS/ HANDLING CREW	1
	FAIL TO ADEQUATELY SUPERVISE	LAUNCHING CREW	1
		OTHER FLIGHT/HANGAR DECK/LINE PERSONNEL	1
		SUBORDINATE PERS NOT OTHERWISE SPECIFIED	1
		NATOPS PROCEDURES	1
FACILITIES PERSONNEL	FAIL TO ADHERE TO PROCEDURES	SAFETY PRECAUTIONS/PROCEDURES	3
	FAILED TO PROVIDE	OTHER	1
	FAILED TO RESPOND TO UNSAFE SITUATION		1
	LOSS OF SA/FAILED TO IDENTIFY	IMPROPER POSITION/DISTANCE	3
		OTHER	2
	FAIL TO PROVIDE/ PROVIDED IMPROPER	OPERATIONAL DATA/PROCEDURE	1
SUPERVISORY	TAIL TO FROVIDE, FROVIDED IMPROPER	TRAINING DOCTRINE	1
	OTHER	OTHER	1
MAINTENANCE	SUPERVISORY	FAILED TO SUPERVISE PERSONNEL/ASSETS	1
PERSONNEL	JOI LIVISON	FAILED TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE TRAINING	1

Fig 10: Level 1-3 Factors For Struck By Aircraft

A previous study showed a large facilities component for mishaps that involved aircraft collisions. The injuries for "struck by aircraft" continue this trend of facilities involvement.

Figures 11 and 12 detail severed finger mishaps.

SEVERED FINGER (6 EVENTS)		
LEVEL1	EVENTS	
MAINTENANCE PERSONNEL	3	
SUPERVISORY	2	
AIRCREW	1	
FACILITIES PERSONNEL	1	

Fig 11: Level 1 Severed Finger Factors

	SEV	ERED FINGER (6 EVENTS)	
LEVEL1	LEVEL2	LEVEL3	EVENTS
		FAILED TO FOLLOW TECHNICAL PROCEDURE; STEP BY STEP	1
		FAILED TO IDENTIFY/DETECT FLAW/HAZARDOUS CONDITION	1
MAINTENANCE	PRODUCTION	IMPROPERLY INSTALLED	1
PERSONNEL	PRODUCTION	LOST SITUATIONAL AWARENESS	2
		OTHER	1
	SUPERVISORY	FAILED TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE TECHNICAL DATA/PROCEDURES	1
SUPERVISORY	FAILURE TO PROVIDE/PROVIDED	HAZARD IDENTIFICATION	1
SUPERVISORT	INADEQUATE/IMPROPER	TRAINING	1
AIRCREW	FAILURE OF AIRCREW COORDINATION	FAILED TO COORDINATE ACTIONS	1
AIRCREW	IMPROPER USE OF MISC EQUIPMENT	HOIST/WINCH	1
FACILITIES	FAIL TO ADHERE TO PROCEDURES	STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES	1
PERSONNEL	LOSS OF SA/ FAILED TO IDENTIFY	LINSAFE SITUATION NOT OTHERWISE DESCRIBED	1

Fig 12: Level 1-3 Severed Finger Factors

Failure to follow procedures and lack of situational awareness were major factors.

Figure 13 details the involved factors for the single wire strike mishap. This mishap was included because the aircraft remained flying and did not impact the terrain. The involved factors were aircrew and supervisory.

WIRE STRIKE (1 EVENT)					
LEVEL1	LEVEL2	LEVEL3	EVENTS		
AIRCREW	FAIL TO SEE OBJECT IN TIME TO AVOID COLLISION	WIRE STRIKE	1		
	FAILURE OF AIRCREW COORDINATION	FAILED TO COMMUNICATE	1		
		FAILED TO MAKE TIMELY DECISION	1		
		FAILURE TO BACKUP PLT/COPLT	1		
		FAILURE TO USE ALL AVAILABLE RESOURCES	1		
		OTHER	1		
	INADEQUATE FLIGHT PREPARATION	INADEQUATE MISSION PLANNING	1		
	VIOLATION OF EXISTING REGULATIONS	CONTINUED VFR UNDER UNFAVORABLE WEATHER	1		
		OTHER	1		
		VIOLATION OF GENERAL AIR DISCIPLINE	1		
SUPERVISORY	FAILURE TO PROVIDED INADEQUATE	TRAINING DOCTRINE	1		
	FAILURE TO RECOGNIZE/ ACCURATELY ASSESS/	FAILING AVIATOR/AIRCREWMAN	1		
	DIAGNOSE	OTHER	1		

Fig 13: Level 1-3 Wire Strike Factors

Figure 14 details the factors for the single weather mishap. All of the factors were aircrew.

WEATHER (1 EVENT)				
LEVEL1	LEVEL2	LEVEL3	EVENTS	
AIRCREW	FAILURE OF	FAILED TO MAKE TIMELY DECISION	1	
	AIRCREW	FAILURE TO USE ALL AVAILABLE RESOURCES	1	
	MISCELLANEOUS	DEVIATION FROM NATOPS (NOT INTENTIONAL VIOLATION)	1	
	OTHER	OTHER	1	

Fig 14: Level 1-3 Weather Factors

There was one mishap involving a bird strike with injuries; however there was no fault assigned and no involved factors.